

**Regular Council  
November 28, 2023  
On-Table Items**

<b>Item Type</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Item No.</b>	<b>Item Name</b>	<b>Page Number(s)</b>	<b>Reason For On-Table Distribution</b>
Public Input	November 28, 2023	4.2	Written Submissions	3-10	Received after agenda publication
Presentation	November 28, 2023	5.1	Art at Council	11-12	Received after agenda publication

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

## CORRESPONDENCE TABLE

<b>TYPE OF CONSULTATION</b>		Public Input – Written Submissions	
<b>PUBLIC INPUT SUBMISSION PERIOD</b>		12:01 p.m. November 21, 2023 – 12:00 pm November 28, 2023	
<b>COUNCIL MEETING DATE</b>		November 28, 2023	
<b>MEETING TYPE</b>		Regular Council	
<b>Correspondence #</b>	<b>Correspondent</b>	<b>Date Received</b>	<b>City of Residence</b>
1.	P. Mace	November 28, 2023	Port Moody
2.	L. Burton	November 28, 2023	Anmore
3.	H. Mason	November 28, 2023	N/A

**From:** Patricia M **s.22 Personal information**  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 9:55 AM  
**To:** City of Port Moody - Clerks; Council  
**Subject:** Public Input for Nov.28th - Item 11.3

**CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. If you feel this email is malicious or a scam, report it with the Report Message button in Outlook.

Mayor & Council Members,

Re: Item 11.3 Support for Lobbyist & Meeting Registry

First thank you for the opportunity to provide written public input, that will become part of the public record.

I am writing in support of item 11.3 to call on the local government of Port Moody to foster clear and transparent relationships with all those in the development community that want to lobby local Council members.

Time and time again I hear council members say they are listening to their constituents, well I'm taking the time to ask you to consider supporting such a registry.

All members of Council should want to see and promote transparency on matters that may or may not influence your decisions.

In light of recent municipalities being in the news Kelowna took quick action on Sept. 11th, 2023 to create policy that promotes transparency and accountability. So we know that the framework has been done in other municipalities, now I ask that Port Moody also hold themselves to the highest standard of transparency.

Access to a new public lobbyist registry is important to engaged members of the community, and this would help in alleviating any perception of a tainted decision making process.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Respectfully,

Patricia Mace

**From:** Lynn Burton [s.22 Personal information](#)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 9:11 AM  
**To:** City of Port Moody - Clerks  
**Cc:** [s.22 Personal information](#)  
**Subject:** letter for Council Meeting  
**Attachments:** Attachment information; IMG\_2927.JPG

You don't often get email from leburton@shaw.ca. [Learn why this is important](#)

**CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. If you feel this email is malicious or a scam, report it with the Report Message button in Outlook.

Dear members of Port Moody Council,

Thank you for the opportunity to express my concerns about a very large icona Properties development proposal for the Village of Anmore. On Tuesday December 5, 2023, at the Village of Anmore Regular Council meeting, there will be first reading of the bylaw to change Anmore's Official Community Plan to allow high density housing on the Anmore portion of the loco lands (150 acres contiguous to the 82 acres located in Port Moody), which the developer estimates will be about 3500 residences plus commercial units.

This area in Anmore is currently designated 'rural' and outside the Urban Containment Boundary, with a Special Study overlay. To put the scope of this proposal into perspective, the 2021 Census shows that the Village of Anmore has a population of 2,356 living in 744 private dwellings. Much of this land is Environmentally Sensitive with mature forest canopy on Metro 2050 maps.

As I understand it, while Anmore Council is considering the icona Properties Ltd proposal for urban densification, Port Moody Council amended their OCP to remove the Special Study overlay for the nearly 82 acres IOCO Lands in that city and proceed with the existing zoning.

A May 2018 report 'loco Lands Connector Options' prepared by ISL Engineering and Land Services for the City of Port Moody concluded:

"In summary, with no new roadway, development would be restricted to approximately 100 units or equivalent traffic, similar to the existing zoning allowances. The potential new road through Bert Flinn Park would allow up to 500 units to be accommodated, at the expense of disruption to environmentally sensitive areas, wildlife habitats, destruction of trail systems, disruption to park continuity, and subject to the alignment, potential property impacts."

I worry that with the only viable transportation corridor to this site as loco Road, this proposed development would not only have huge implications for our verdant Village but it also has the potential to cause major traffic disruption to those living along loco Road, Alderside, April Road and the Pleasantside communities. If possible you might want to have ears on the ground at the meeting. A photo of the announcement in the maildrop to residents is attached.


Thank you for taking the time to consider my concerns.

Kindest regards,  
Dr, Lynn Elen Burton

Lynn Elen Burton  
[s.22 Personal information](#)  
Anmore, B.C. V3H 4Y7  
Canada

Tel: [s.22 Personal information](#)  
Fax: [s.22 Personal information](#)





Anmore  
South

## UPDATE Anmore South OCP Amendment Application



NOVEMBER 2023

### First Reading to be Considered at Dec. 5, 2023 Council Meeting

The proposed Official Community Plan (OCP) amendment for the Anmore South lands submitted by icona Properties Ltd. (icona) represents a significant change to Anmore's future growth and development. Formerly known as the Imperial Oil Company (IOCO) lands, Anmore South encompasses 150 acres in the southern part of Anmore (see map, other side).

*In its application, icona is proposing to change the land use designation for Anmore South from Rural to Urban. The application includes approximately 3,300 units, which could add 5,100 to 6,700 residents to Anmore's population, as well as the introduction of commercial businesses.*

***It is important to note that is what icona is proposing, but it is not necessarily what Council will approve.***

At the Regular Council Meeting on Dec. 5, 2023, Council will consider the first reading of the proposed OCP bylaw amendment submitted by icona for the Anmore South lands. Council will be provided with information about what is included in icona's proposed OCP amendments. Staff have reviewed the application for compliance with legislation and will be providing comments to ensure the amendments adhere to best practices in community planning.

**From:** H Mason

**Sent:** Monday, November 27, 2023 10:58 PM

**To:** Council <council@portmoody.ca>; City of Port Moody - Clerks <clerks@portmoody.ca>

**Subject:** Written public input: New provincial housing policies and the need for city councils to ask the province to pause, AND Lobbyist registry (item 11.3), AND engaging with local businesses (item 11.2)

**CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. If you feel this email is malicious or a scam, report it with the Report Message button in Outlook.

**I am very alarmed about the provincial government's rushed policies and broadstroke changes (and over-reach) on local land use decisions. I feel strongly there should be a pause at this time, since like many others I feel there is a host of potential unintended consequences and much more work needs to be done, including engagement with local municipalities.**

**I'm asking Port Moody council to request the provincial government to PAUSE until more work and local engagement is done. Port Moody would be joining a chorus of other local governments with this action.**

I expect review would lead to changes and better legislation. The current wording strikes me as taking a wrecking ball to all established procedures on land use and planning. It suggests people/organizations might as well just give up on public input. It smacks of paternalism.

I note that with the ten communities on the "naughty list" no data has been provided to show why these 10 were selected. That is a huge red flag, one of many. The "experts" are another red flag, which were only revealed thanks to an FOI request from CityHallWatch based in Vancouver. The experts include development lobbyist groups such as the Urban Development Institute and the Home Builders Association of Vancouver (HAVAN), which happens to be Councillor Dilworth's other employer.

I can only conclude the developers' public relations people did their lobbying extremely effectively with the government. For example, though Port Moody showed virtually no growth during one census period, that was an extreme aberration, and doesn't tell the story at all; in effect showing little to no real examination of the situation on the ground.

While I can perhaps accept that this legislation has good intentions, I have serious concerns with how it was developed and who influenced it, and how it could play out in negative ways.

This not-yet-final legislation has huge province-wide implications. Just one example as pointed out recently by Andy Yan (SFU City Program), is how this will affect tenants and the very real problem of tenant displacement from redeveloping areas. That's just one thing.



I don't know if you've ever read the posts at CityHallWatch. If not, I highly recommend you do, particularly with respect to housing and development. I've pasted below an excerpt from one of the posts.

Below, the excerpt mentioned above from CityHallWatch.

<https://cityhallwatch.wordpress.com/2023/11/10/bills-44-46-47-would-dramatically-alter-vancouver-and-bc-forever/>

"Readers with concerns are encouraged to communicate with their local mayors and councillors, as well as Members of Legislative Assembly. Time is of the essence. [...]

When communicating with officials, besides concerns about MANY unintended consequences of this incomplete and rushed legislation usurping municipal powers, **CityHallWatch has a specific suggestion**. Since this legislation, if passed, will largely eviscerate local municipal oversight and control of planning and development and clear the way for developers to go straight from applications to development permits through internal approvals by one or just a few individuals at city halls across the province, *we call for, before these three bills are enacted, the simultaneous enactment of*

- (1) loopholes being closed on corporate donations in provincial and municipal elections,
- (2) full time continuous reporting of political donations (not just during election season),
- (3) enhanced whistleblower protections and handling processes,
- (4) province-wide lobbyist registry extending to the municipal level,
- (5) enhanced municipal complaints and ombudsperson functions,
- (6) improved implementation of Freedom of Information legislation,
- (7) establish/enforce a cooling off period to prevent the "revolving door" between government positions (regulator) and employment in the same industry (regulated), and
- (8) more severe penalties for violations of any of the above.

All of the above points are serious existing flaws in B.C. many of which CityHallWatch has covered extensively and in detail over the past 15 years.

The common themes of all these bills are overriding municipal government authority, giving the Province more power to eliminate local mayors and councils from land use planning and housing approval processes, and keeping the public out of the discussion while, in effect largely clearing the government and public out of the way to allow the real estate, finance, and development industry unbridled access to land for construction."

End of excerpt.

All of this brings me to item 11.3 on the agenda for November 28, 2023, regarding increasing transparency and creation of a lobbyist registry. I support this 100%, and I know there is support province-wide (and note the 8 points above from CityHallWatch).

Digressing slightly (but still related), item 11.2 regarding businesses at risk of displacement, I support this as well. I know Moody

**NOTE: SUBMISSION TRUNCATED AT 750 WORDS PER PUBLIC INPUT POLICY**

**Art at Council**  
**Tuesday, November 28, 2023**

Nickie Lewis is an artist residing on the unceded lands of the Tsleil-Waututh and Musqueam first nations people, also known as Burnaby. She specializes in eco-friendly art created entirely out of natural organic materials such as cedar sticks, moss, and jute twine. Nickie endeavors to spread joy through magical, whimsical sculptures.

Her most recent public art projects included a solo exhibition at PoMoArts, a 12-sculpture project for the City of New Westminster, and 2 large scale projects through the ArtStarts program as an Artist in the Classroom grant recipient, as well as an upcoming Artist in Residence position in Port Coquitlam.

Nickie is also connected to Port Moody's wildlife-friendly holiday decorating initiative which helps to protect wildlife and the environment in our parks, trails and natural areas. Nickie, with her special talent for working with natural materials, will be joining City staff at the Port Moody Farmer's Market on Sunday, December 3 to show people how to make wild-life friendly decorations. The decorations can then be placed in Pioneer Memorial Park in a designated decorating area until February 11, 2024.

At this time, I would like to invite Nickie up to the speaker's podium. Welcome and thank you for joining us this evening! We can't wait to hear more about the sculpture you brought with you this evening.



Nickie Lewis  
*Harriet the Hedgehog*  
Cedar sticks, jute twine, burlap